

Lecture 3

Foundation of Data Flow Analysis

- I Semi-lattice (set of values, meet operator)
- II Transfer functions
- III Correctness, precision and convergence
- IV Meaning of Data Flow Solution

Reading: Chapter 9.3

I. Purpose of a Framework

- **Purpose 1**
 - Prove properties of entire family of problems once and for all
 - Will the program converge?
 - What does the solution to the set of equations mean?
- **Purpose 2:**
 - Aid in software engineering: re-use code

The Data-Flow Framework


- **Data-flow problems (F, V, \wedge) are defined by**
 - A semilattice
 - domain of values (V)
 - meet operator (\wedge)
 - A family of transfer functions $(F: V \rightarrow V)$

Semi-lattice: Structure of the Domain of Values

- **A semi-lattice $S = \langle$ a set of values V , a meet operator $\wedge \rangle$**
- **Properties of the meet operator**
 - idempotent: $x \wedge x = x$
 - commutative: $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$
 - associative: $x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \wedge y) \wedge z$
- **Examples of meet operators ?**
- **Non-examples ?**

Example of A Semi-Lattice Diagram

- $(V, \wedge) : V = \{x \mid \text{such that } x \subseteq \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}\}, \wedge = \cup$




- $x \wedge y =$ first common descendant of x & y
- Define top element \top , such that $x \wedge \top = x$
- Define bottom element \perp , such that $x \wedge \perp = \perp$
- Semi-lattice diagram : picture of a partial order!

important

A Meet Operator Defines a Partial Order (vice versa)

- **Definition of partial order \leq :** $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \wedge y = x$




- **Properties of meet operator guarantee that \leq is a partial order**
 - Reflexive: $x \leq x$
 - Antisymmetric: if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ then $x = y$
 - Transitive: if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$ then $x \leq z$
- $(x < y) \equiv (x \leq y) \wedge (x \neq y)$
- **A semi-lattice diagram:**
 - Set of nodes: set of values
 - Set of edges $\{(y, x) : x < y \text{ and } \neg \exists z \text{ s.t. } (x < z) \wedge (z < y) \}$
- **Example:**
 - Meet operator: \cup Partial order \leq :

Summary

- **Three ways to define a semi-lattice:**
 - Set of values + meet operator
 - idempotent: $x \wedge x = x$
 - commutative: $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$
 - associative: $x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \wedge y) \wedge z$
 - Set of values + partial order
 - Reflexive: $x \leq x$
 - Antisymmetric: if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ then $x = y$
 - Transitive: if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$ then $x \leq z$
 - A semi-lattice diagram
 - No cycles
 - \top is on top of everything
 - \perp is at the bottom of everything

Another Example

- Semi-lattice
 - $V = \{x \mid \text{such that } x \subseteq \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}\}$
 - $\wedge = \cap$



- \leq is

Descending Chain

- **Definition**

- The **height** of a lattice is the largest number of $>$ relations that will fit in a descending chain.

$$x_0 > x_1 > \dots$$

- **Height of values in reaching definitions?**
- **Important property: finite descending chains**

II. Transfer Functions

- **A family of transfer functions** F
- **Basic Properties** $f: V \rightarrow V$
 - Has an identity function
 - $\exists f$ such that $f(x) = x$, for all x .
 - Closed under composition
 - if $f_1, f_2 \in F$, $f_1 \bullet f_2 \in F$

Monotonicity: 2 Equivalent Definitions

- A framework (F, V, \wedge) is monotone iff
 - $x \leq y$ implies $f(x) \leq f(y)$

- Equivalently,
a framework (F, V, \wedge) is monotone iff
 - $f(x \wedge y) \leq f(x) \wedge f(y)$,
 - meet inputs, then apply f
 \leq
apply f individually to inputs, then meet results

Example

- **Reaching definitions: $f(x) = \text{Gen} \cup (x - \text{Kill})$, $\wedge = \cup$**

- Definition 1:

- Let $x_1 \leq x_2$,

$$f(x_1): \text{Gen} \cup (x_1 - \text{Kill})$$

$$f(x_2): \text{Gen} \cup (x_2 - \text{Kill})$$

- Definition 2:

- $f(x_1 \wedge x_2) = (\text{Gen} \cup ((x_1 \cup x_2) - \text{Kill}))$

$$f(x_1) \wedge f(x_2) = (\text{Gen} \cup (x_1 - \text{Kill})) \cup (\text{Gen} \cup (x_2 - \text{Kill}))$$

Important Note

- Monotone framework **does not mean** that $f(x) \leq x$
 - e.g. Reaching definition for two definitions in program
 - suppose: $f: \text{Gen} = \{d_1\} ; \text{Kill} = \{d_2\}$

Distributivity

- A framework (F, V, \wedge) is distributive if and only if
 - $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$,

meet input, then apply f is **equal to**
apply the transfer function individually then merge result

III. Properties of Iterative Algorithm

- **Given:**
 - \wedge and monotone data flow framework
 - Finite descending chain
 - \Rightarrow Converges
- **Initialization of interior points to T**
 - \Rightarrow Maximum Fixed Point (MFP) solution of equations

Behavior of iterative algorithm (intuitive)

For each IN/OUT of an interior program point:

- Its value cannot go up (new value \leq old value) during algorithm
- Start with T (largest value)
- Proof by induction
 - Apply 1st transfer function / meet operator \leq old value (T)
 - Inputs to “meet” change (get smaller)
 - since inputs get smaller, new output \leq old output
 - Inputs to transfer functions change (get smaller)
 - monotonicity of transfer function:
since input gets smaller, new output \leq old output
- Algorithm iterates until equations are satisfied
- Values do not come down unless some constraints drive them down.
- Therefore, finds the largest solution that satisfies the equations

IV. What Does the Solution Mean?


- **IDEAL data flow solution**

- Let $f_1, \dots, f_m : \in F$, f_i is the transfer function for node i

$$f_p = f_{n_k} \bullet \dots \bullet f_{n_1}, \quad p \text{ is a path through nodes } n_1, \dots, n_k$$

$f_p = \text{identity function}$, if p is an empty path

- For each node n : $\wedge f_{p_i}$ (boundary value),
for all possibly executed paths p_i reaching n
- Example



- **Determining all possibly executed paths is undecidable**

Meet-Over-Paths MOP

- **Err in the conservative direction**

- **Meet-Over-Paths MOP**

- Assume every edge is traversed
- For each node n :

$$\text{MOP}(n) = \wedge f_{p_i} \text{ (boundary value), for all paths } p_i \text{ reaching } n$$

- **Compare MOP with IDEAL**


- MOP includes more paths than IDEAL
- $\text{MOP} = \text{IDEAL} \wedge \text{Result}(\text{Unexecuted-Paths})$
- $\text{MOP} \leq \text{IDEAL}$
- MOP is a “smaller” solution, more conservative, **safe**

- **Data Flow Solution \leq MOP \leq IDEAL**

- as close to MOP from below as possible

Solving Data Flow Equations

- What is the difference between MOP and MFP of data flow equations?



- **Therefore**
 - $FP \leq MFP \leq MOP \leq IDEAL$
 - FP, MFP, MOP are safe
 - If framework is distributive, $FP \leq MFP = MOP \leq IDEAL$

Summary

- **A data flow framework**
 - Semi-lattice
 - set of values (top)
 - meet operator
 - finite descending chains?
 - Transfer functions
 - summarizes each basic block
 - boundary conditions
- **Properties of data flow framework:**
 - monotone framework and finite descending chains
 - ⇒ iterative algorithm converges
 - ⇒ finds maximum fixed point (MFP)
 - ⇒ $FP \leq MFP \leq MOP \leq IDEAL$
 - distributive framework
 - ⇒ $FP \leq MFP = MOP \leq IDEAL$